

Quantifying aerosol-cloud interactions from satellite observations: opportunities and challenges





Atelier LEFE; 20 Oct. 2020



- V Due to their high temporal and spatial coverage, satellite observations are unique tools to study aerosol-cloud interactions (ACI).
- The impact of aerosols on the cloud albedo established by Twomey (1977) has been quantified from satellite observations from the past 2 decades.



- Due to their high temporal and spatial coverage, satellite observations are unique tools to study aerosol-cloud interactions (ACI).
- The impact of aerosols on the cloud albedo established by Twomey (1977) has been quantified from satellite observations from the past 2 decades.





- ▼ The question of the optimal cloud and aerosol properties to study and quantify ACI from satellite has been long debated.
- Quantifying the radiative forcing related to aerosol-cloud interactions (RF_{aci}), or Twomey effect, requires a cloud property X_{cld} and aerosol parameter α.

$$\alpha \longrightarrow X_{cld} \longrightarrow RF_{aci}$$



Quantifying the RFaci from satellites

- ▼ The question of the optimal cloud and aerosol properties to study and quantify ACI from satellite has been long debated.
- Quantifying the radiative forcing related to aerosol-cloud interactions (RF_{aci}), or Twomey effect, requires a cloud property X_{cld} and aerosol parameter α.





X_{cld} and α should both be obtained from satellite. Early studies used **available** satellite retrievals, such as the droplet effective radius (reff) and the aerosol optical depth (AOD) or index (AI).



Here, the slope provides directly the sensitivity of the effective radius to AI:

$$\mathrm{RF}_{\mathrm{aci}} = \frac{\partial R}{\partial r_{\mathrm{eff}}} \frac{\partial r_{\mathrm{eff}}}{\partial \mathrm{AI}} \Delta \mathrm{AI}$$

These properties were then selected for convenience: they were related to radiative properties but not necessarily to the cloud formation and growth processes!



- ▼ The **cloud droplet number concentration** (N_d) is most directly related to nucleation processes and changes in aerosol concentrations.
- ▼ N_d is typically **not available** in operational satellite products. Indirect N_d estimates were still obtained and used to quantify ACI



- The cloud droplet number concentration (N_d) is most directly related to nucleation processes and changes in aerosol concentrations.
- ▼ N_d is typically **not available** in operational satellite products. Indirect N_d estimates were still obtained and used to quantify ACI



Fig. 1. Annual mean (five-year average) (a) cloud droplet number concentration (cm^{-3}) and (b) fine-mode aerosol optical depth (AODFM unitless) as derived from MODIS.



- ▼ This approach to estimate Nd is based on crude assumptions of adiabatic growth of droplets and of measurement of r_{eff} at cloud top (Brenguier et al, 2000).
- ▼ Under these assumptions, N_d can be related to historically retrieved cloud properties:

$$N_{\rm d} = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2\pi k} \left(\frac{f_{\rm ad} c_w \tau_c}{Q_{\rm ext} \rho_w r_{\rm eff}} \right) \approx 1.37 \ 10^{-5} \ \tau_c^{0.5} \ r_{\rm eff}^{-2.5}$$

- k: shape parameter of the droplet size distribution
- cw: condensation rate
- Q_{ext}: extinction coefficient
- f_{ad}: adiabatic fraction
- τ_c : cloud optical depth (COD)
- Grosvenor et al (2018; Rev. Geophys.) estimated that errors on such N_d retrievals are at least 50% for single-layer and homogeneous stratocumulus. They are largely unreliable in other conditions.



- The aerosol parameter is also difficult to select. Ideally, it would be the CCN number concentration, however unavailable from satellite remote sensing only.
- ▼ Usual alternatives are the **AOD** and the **AI**, both radiative properties:
 - AI carries information on small particles, making it well suited to represent CCN! In wildly used products (e.g. MODIS) it is not available over land and considered uncertain over ocean.
 - AOD is a useful alternative, especially for the fine mode, but remains influence by the aerosol size.

- The aerosol parameter is also difficult to select. Ideally, it would be the CCN number concentration, however unavailable from satellite remote sensing only.
- ▼ Usual alternatives are the **AOD** and the **AI**, both radiative properties:
 - AI carries information on small particles, making it well suited to represent CCN! In wildly used products (e.g. MODIS) it is not available over land and considered uncertain over ocean.
 - AOD is a useful alternative, especially for the fine mode, but remains influence by the aerosol size.
- Remains important issues for both parameters
 - ▼ No information on **speciation** and **hygroscopic growth**
 - ▼ They are **vertically integrated**, CCN are important at cloud-base.
 - ▼ Usually not exactly **co-located** with cloud properties



Methods combining satellite-derived Nd estimates with AI or AOD estimates constitute the core of approaches to quantify the RFaci.



- Other important issues impacting both cloud and aerosol properties are the regime dependance and the aggregation scale.
- Alternative methods are emerging, attempting to tackle these issues.



- For example, Hasekamp et al (2019) attempted an indirect satellite estimate of a CCN burden from polarimetric measurements.
- ▼ This allows for hygroscopicity-corrected RFaci estimates



- For example, Hasekamp et al (2019) attempted an indirect satellite estimate of a CCN burden from polarimetric measurements.
- This allows for hygroscopicity-corrected RFaci estimates



They estimated a median RF_{aci} of -0.33 W m⁻² from AOD, of -0.8 W m⁻² from AI and of -1.14 W m⁻² from the CCN-burden!

- ▼ The RF_{aci} only represent a part of ACI (the better understood one!).
- Rapid cloud adjustment of the LWP, cloud fraction, lifetime, vertical extent and precipitation rate can also appear (among others).
- ▼ Combining adjustments and RF_{aci} leads to the effective radiative forcing, **ERF_{aci}**.



- ▼ The RF_{aci} only represent a part of ACI (the better understood one!).
- Rapid cloud adjustment of the LWP, cloud fraction, lifetime, vertical extent and precipitation rate can also appear (among others).
- ▼ Combining adjustments and RF_{aci} leads to the effective radiative forcing, **ERF_{aci}**.
- ▼ For instance, including adjustments to LWP and CF:





- ▼ The RF_{aci} only represent a part of ACI (the better understood one!).
- Rapid cloud adjustment of the LWP, cloud fraction, lifetime, vertical extent and precipitation rate can also appear (among others).
- ▼ Combining adjustments and RF_{aci} leads to the effective radiative forcing, **ERF**_{aci}.
- ▼ For instance, including adjustments to LWP and CF:





- ▼ The RF_{aci} only represent a part of ACI (the better understood one!).
- Rapid cloud adjustment of the LWP, cloud fraction, lifetime, vertical extent and precipitation rate can also appear (among others).
- ▼ Combining adjustments and RF_{aci} leads to the effective radiative forcing, **ERF**aci.
- ▼ For instance, including adjustments to LWP and CF:





 Adjustments are difficult to quantify using satellites because retrievals are highly correlated to each other and confounding factors are difficult to isolate.

- Adjustments are difficult to quantify using satellites because retrievals are highly correlated to each other and confounding factors are difficult to isolate.
- LWP adjustments are still expected to be significant, as shown by Gryspeerdt et al:



- ▼ Global negative Nd-LWP relationship.
- Positive relationship for small Nd, consistent with precipitation suppression and cloud invigoration.
- The Nd-LWP relation appears weaker on natural experiments, setting a bound to the adjustments.
- LWP adjustments can offset the RFaci by 60%.
- Still very uncertain!

 Natural experiments are becoming increasingly useful to provide a controlled setup to better understand cloud adjustments.



Natural experiments to the rescue

- Natural experiments are becoming increasingly useful to provide a controlled setup to better understand cloud adjustments.
- For instance, following the previous analysis, ship tractime scale of LWP adjustments



Gryspeerdt et al (2021), ACP

The challenge of ice and mixed-phase clouds

- ▼ A strong emphasis in ACI studies from satellite observation is put on **liquid clouds**!
- The ERF_{aci} associated with ice and mixed-phase clouds remains largely unknown, largely due to a lack of adapted cloud properties.





Inconsistencies between observations and modelling

ERF_{aci} estimates remain largely **inconsistent** between satellite observations and modelling.



It is not yet clear if satellites provide better estimations, considering the high attached uncertainties and difficulties to assess adjustments.

Inconsistencies between observations and modelling

- There are attempts to reconcile modelling and satellite values of ERFaci, especially by making their computation more similar (e.g. Gryspeerdt et al, 2020b; ACP)
- Other studies show inherent limitations to current purely satellite approaches, such as the coincidence between aerosol and cloud properties.



Inconsistencies between observations and modelling

- ▼ There are attempts to reconcile modelling and satellite values of ERFaci, especially by making their **computation** more similar (e.g. Gryspeerdt et al, 2020b; ACP)
- Other studies show inherent limitations to current purely satellite approaches, such as the coincidence between aerosol and cloud properties.



- Xia et al (2021) quantified the bias in RFaci due to sampling, by reproducing it in reanalyses data.
- They find that missed clouds typically exert a strong cooling effect
- Accounting for this bias, RFaci
 increases by 55%, making it more
 compatible with modelling estimates

Summary

- RFaci usually estimated from satellite using the cloud droplet number concentration and either the aerosol optical depth or index
- ▼ Large **uncertainties on N**_d still exist and urgently need to be reduced
- Aerosol retrievals are uncertain, not always adapted (hygroscopicity issue) and can be difficult to use co-jointly because of co-location problems.
- Adjustments are difficult to quantify using satellite observations only but progress has been made, especially using natural laboratories
- ▼ Not enough study on the ACI associated with ice and mixed-phase clouds
- ▼ **Observations and modelling** ERF_{aci} estimates remain largely inconsistent. We need to work towards unified and more robust methods to quantify ACI.

